

Part B5

2. (Amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of performing a sequence optimization.

5 3. (Amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of producing data for said control in an executable code form.

10 4. (Amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of controlling individual affected processes by a software unit which is one of said functionalities of said individual processes.

15 5. (Amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein one or more of said individual processes may be an impeding process, an impeding process being defined as such if one of the following conditions is met:

15 a) an individual process is blocked by another individual process; and
b) an individual process reaches an unauthorized state or a state endangering operation of said complete system.

20 6. (Amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

designing an automatic placement machine; and
controlling individual processes of said machine.

25 7. (Amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of controlling a technical installation with data determined for controlling said complete process.

30 8. (Amended) An arrangement for designing the control of a complete process, comprising:

a number of individual processes; and

*✓ 2
✓ 3
✓ 4
✓ 5
✓ 6
✓ 7
✓ 8
✓ 9
✓ 10
✓ 11
✓ 12
✓ 13
✓ 14
✓ 15
✓ 16
✓ 17
✓ 18
✓ 19
✓ 20
✓ 21
✓ 22
✓ 23
✓ 24
✓ 25*

a processor unit configured to provide:

- a) identification of functionalities of said individual processes;
- b) a validation, by automatically verifying an interplay of functionalities in accordance with an input to said complete process, in a manner such that each of said individual processes is not impeded during an operation; and
- c) data from a result of said validation that is used for controlling said complete process.

10

REMARKS

The present Amendment revises the specification and claims to conform to United States patent practice, before examination of the present PCT application in the United States National Examination Phase. Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125 (b), applicants have concurrently submitted a substitute specification, excluding the claims, and provided a marked-up copy. All of the changes are editorial and applicant believes no new matter is added thereby. The amendment, addition, and/or cancellation of claims is not intended to be a surrender of any of the subject matter of those claims.

15

Early examination on the merits is respectfully requested.

20

Submitted by,

25

Mark Bergner (Reg. No. 45,877)

Mark Bergner
Schiff Hardin & Waite
Patent Department
6600 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6473
(312) 258-5779

30

Attorneys for Applicant